Interview Summary	Application No	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/621,909		VACCARO ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Charanjit S. A	ulakh	1625		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>Charanjit S. Aulakh</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Laurelee Duncan</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>12 May 2004</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  If Yes, brief description:					
Claim(s) discussed:			. '		
Identification of prior art discussed:					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Called for restriction and elected group I (compounds of formula F on page 216 as species)</u> with traverse for further prosecution.					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
			,		
Evaminar Nata: Vau must sign this form unless it is an					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Ē	xaminer's signa	ature, if required		